

Environmental Impact Assessment [version 1.0]

Proposal title: Homelessness Prevention Grant top-up 24/25				
Project stage and type: ☐ Initial Idea Mandate	☐ Outline Business Case	☐ Full Business Case		
☐ Policy ☐ Strategy ☐ Function ☐ Service	☐ New	☐ Changing		
☐ Other [please state]	☐ Already exists / review			
Directorate: Growth and Regeneration	Lead Officer name: Paul Sylv	vester		
Service Area: Housing Options	Lead Officer role: Head of H	lousing Options		

Step 1: What do we want to do?

The purpose of this Environmental Impact Assessment is to help you develop your proposal in a way that is compliant with the council's policies and supports the council's strategic objectives under the One City Ecological Emergency Strategy and the latest Corporate Strategy.

This assessment should be started at the beginning of the project proposal process by someone with a good knowledge of the project, the service area that will deliver it, and sufficient influence over the proposal to make changes as needed.

It is good practice to take a team approach to completing the Environmental Impact Assessment. See further <u>guidance</u> on completing this document. Please email <u>environmental.performance@bristol.gov.uk</u> early for advice and feedback.

1.1 What are the aims and objectives/purpose of this proposal?

Briefly explain the purpose of the proposal and why it is needed. Please use <u>plain English</u>, avoiding jargon and acronyms.

Seeking approval to accept and spend Bristol's homelessness prevention Grant top-up 24/25

The purpose of this payment is to help Council's manage homelessness pressures.

The purpose of this payment is twofold:

- 1) maximise supporting the Ukrainian cohort into sustainable accommodation, for example through access to the private rented sector, employment support or facilitating ongoing sponsorship for guests' second years in the UK.
- 2) used to support wider homelessness pressures. The government acknowledges that Local authorities are best placed to understand the support needed for local communities and therefore this funding may also be used to support other people at risk of homelessness in line with local pressures.

The proposal is to use the grant for a range of initiatives that:

- Pay for additional resources to deliver the initiatives and prevent homelessness.
- Pay for initiatives that increase access to affordable housing.
- Pay for initiatives to sustain tenancies and placements and prevent homelessness.
- Directly cover some costs of Temporary Accommodation (Housing Benefit subsidy loss).

1.2 Will the proposal have an environmental impact?

Could the proposal have either a positive or negative effects for the environment now or in the future? If 'No' explain why you are sure there will be no environmental impact, then skip steps 2-3 and request review by sending this form to environmental.performance@bristol.gov.uk

\square Yes \boxtimes No	[please select]
------------------------------	-----------------

1	oposals rel properties		ning tenancies, preventing hor	nelessness and supporting ac	cess to existing private
	• •	•	rt of an options appraisal, ncluded in the recommen		mpact of each option
•			details of the environmental im	•	e clear in the pros and
☐ Yes	s [□ No	⊠ Not applicable	[please select]	
If 'No' ex	xplain why	environmer	ital impacts have not been con	sidered as part of the options	appraisal process.
Step 2	: What k	kinds of e	nvironmental impacts m	night the project have?	
Δnalvsis	of impacts	s must he rig	orous. Please demonstrate voi	ir analysis of any impacts of t	he proposal in this

Does the proposal create any benefits for the environment, or have any adverse impacts?

section, referring to evidence you have gathered. See detailed guidance documents for advice on identifying

potential impacts.

Outline any potential benefits of the proposal and how they can be maximised. Identify how the proposal will support our corporate environmental objectives and the wider One City Climate and Ecological Emergency Strategies.

Consider how the proposal creates environmental impacts in the following categories, both now and in the future. Reasonable efforts should be made to quantify stated benefit or adverse impacts wherever possible.

Where the proposal is likely to have a beneficial impact, consider what actions would enhance those impacts. Where the proposal is likely to have a harmful impact, consider whether actions would mitigate these impacts.

Enhancements or mitigation actions are only required when there is a likely impact identified. Remember that where enhancements or mitigation actions are listed, they should be assigned to staff and appropriately resourced.

GENERAL COMMENTS (highlighting	ght any potent	rial issues that might impact all or many categories)
ENV1 Carbon neutral:		
Emissions of climate		
changing gases		
	Benefits	
BCC has committed to	Dellellts	
achieving net zero emissions		
for its direct activities by		
2025, and to support the city		

to waterways, and reduce consumption of products that undermine ecosystems around the world. If your proposal will directly lead to a reduction in habitat within Bristol, then consider how your proposed mitigation can lead to a biodiversity net gain. Be sure to refer to quantifiable changes wherever possible. Further guidance No impact	Adverse impacts Mitigating actions		☐ 1 year or less	□ 1 – 5 years	□ 5+ years
to waterways, and reduce consumption of products that undermine ecosystems around the world. If your proposal will directly lead to a reduction in habitat within Bristol, then consider how your proposed mitigation can lead to a biodiversity net gain. Be sure to refer to quantifiable	Adverse impacts Mitigating	of effects:	☐ 1 year or less	□ 1 – 5 years	□ 5+ years
to waterways, and reduce consumption of products that undermine ecosystems around the world. If your proposal will directly lead to a reduction in habitat within Bristol, then consider how your proposed	Adverse	of effects:	☐ 1 year or less	□ 1 – 5 years	□ 5+ years
to waterways, and reduce consumption of products that undermine ecosystems	Persistence of	of effects:	☐ 1 year or less	☐ 1 – 5 years	☐ 5+ years
to waterways, and reduce	Doreistanas	of officeter	☐ 1 year ar lass	□ 4 F.v	□ -
Consider how your proposal can support increased space for nature, reduced use of pesticides, reduce pollution	Enhancing actions				
ENV2 Ecological recovery: Wildlife and habitats BCC has committed to 30% of its land being managed for nature and to halve its use of pesticides by 2030.	Benefits				
	r er sisterice (oi ellects.	I year Or less	□ 1 – 5 years	□ JT YEAIS
☑ No impact	Persistence (of offerts:	☐ 1 year or less	☐ 1 – 5 years	☐ 5+ years
will lead to ongoing emissions beyond the 2025 and 2030 target dates. Further guidance	Mitigating actions				
Consider the scale and timeframe of the impact, particularly if the proposal	impacts				
to either of these questions, there will be a carbon impact.	Adverse		,	,	,
transport, or the use of energy in buildings? Will the proposal involve the purchase of goods or services? If the answer is yes	Persistence of	of effects:	☐ 1 year or less	□ 1 – 5 years	☐ 5+ years
Will the proposal involve	Enhancing				
in achieving net zero by 2030.					

ENV3 A cleaner, low-waste city: Consumption of resources and generation of waste	Benefits				
Consider what resources will be used as a result of the proposal, how they can be minimised or swapped for	Enhancing actions				
less impactful ones, where	Persistence (of effects:	☐ 1 year or less	☐ 1 – 5 years	☐ 5+ years
they will be sourced from, and what will happen to any waste generated	Adverse impacts				
<u>Further guidance</u>	Mitigating actions				
No impact ■	Persistence (of effects:	☐ 1 year or less	□ 1 – 5 years	☐ 5+ years
			•	·	·
ENV4 Climate resilience: Bristol's resilience to the effects of climate change Bristol's climate is already	Benefits				
Dristor's chimate is already					
changing, and increasingly frequent instances of extreme weather will become more likely over time.	Enhancing actions				
frequent instances of extreme weather will become more likely over	actions	of official	1 was a lass	1 5	
frequent instances of extreme weather will become more likely over time.		of effects:	☐ 1 year or less	□ 1 – 5 years	□ 5+ years
frequent instances of extreme weather will become more likely over time. Consider how the proposal will perform during periods of extreme weather (particularly heat and flooding). Consider if the proposal will reduce or increase risk to	Persistence o	of effects:	☐ 1 year or less	□ 1 – 5 years	☐ 5+ years
frequent instances of extreme weather will become more likely over time. Consider how the proposal will perform during periods of extreme weather (particularly heat and flooding). Consider if the proposal will reduce or increase risk to people and assets during	Adverse impacts	of effects:	☐ 1 year or less	□ 1 – 5 years	☐ 5+ years
frequent instances of extreme weather will become more likely over time. Consider how the proposal will perform during periods of extreme weather (particularly heat and flooding). Consider if the proposal will reduce or increase risk to people and assets during extreme weather events.	Adverse impacts Mitigating	of effects:	☐ 1 year or less	□ 1 – 5 years	☐ 5+ years
frequent instances of extreme weather will become more likely over time. Consider how the proposal will perform during periods of extreme weather (particularly heat and flooding). Consider if the proposal will reduce or increase risk to people and assets during extreme weather events. Further guidance	Adverse impacts	of effects:	☐ 1 year or less	□ 1 – 5 years	□ 5+ years
frequent instances of extreme weather will become more likely over time. Consider how the proposal will perform during periods of extreme weather (particularly heat and flooding). Consider if the proposal will reduce or increase risk to people and assets during extreme weather events.	Adverse impacts Mitigating		☐ 1 year or less	□ 1 – 5 years	☐ 5+ years

Statutory duty: Prevention of Pollution to air, water, or land	Benefits				
Consider how the proposal will change the likelihood of	Enhancing actions Persistence	of affacts:	☐ 1 year or less	□ 1 – 5 years	□ 5+ years
pollution occurring to air, water, or land and what steps will be taken to prevent pollution occurring.	Adverse impacts	oi enects.	□ 1 year or less	□ 1 - 5 years	□ 3+ years
Further guidance No impact	Mitigating actions				
	Persistence	of effects:	☐ 1 year or less	□ 1 – 5 years	\square 5+ years

Step 3: Action Plan

Use this section summarise and assign responsibility for any actions you have identified to improve data, enhance beneficial, or mitigate negative impacts. Actions identified in section two can be grouped together if named responsibility is under the same person.

This action plan should be updated at each stage of the project. Please be aware that the Sustainable City and Climate Change Service may use this action plan as an audit checklist during the project's implementation or operation.

Enhancing / mitigating action required	Responsible Officer	Timescale

Step 4: Review

The Sustainable City and Climate Change Service need at least five working days to comment and feedback on your impact assessment. Assessments should only be marked as reviewed when they provide sufficient information for decision-makers on the environmental impact of the proposal.

Please seek feedback and review by emailing environmental.performance@bristol.gov.uk before final submission of your decision pathway documentation¹.

¹ Review by the Sustainable City and Climate Change Service confirms there is sufficient analysis for decision makers to consider the likely environmental impacts at this stage. This is not an endorsement or approval of the proposal.

Where impacts identified in this assessment are deemed significant, they will be summarised here by the Sustainable City and Climate Change Service and must be included in the 'evidence base' section of the decision pathway cover sheet.

Summary of significant beneficial impacts and opportunities to support the Climate, Ecological and Corporate
Strategies (ENV1,2,3,4):
Summary of significant adverse impacts and how they can be mitigated:

Environmental Performance Team Reviewer:	Submitting author:
Daniel Shelton	Paul Sylvester
Date:	Date:
26.03.2024	22.03.2024